**REMARKS** 

Status of the Claims

Claims 1-15 are pending. New claims 10-15 are added by this amendment.

Reconsideration and allowance of all of the pending claims is respectfully requested.

New matter is not being added to the application by way of this amendment. New claim

10 is supported at page 8, line 12, and at page 5, lines 10-18, and further at page 6, lines 10-12 of

the specification. New claims 11-15 are supported in original claims 2-6 respectively.

Accordingly, no new matter is added, and entry of this amendment is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §102/103

At page 2, paragraphs 1-3 of the Office Action, claims 1-7 and 9 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. §102(b) as anticipated by Obayashi '989 (U.S. Patent No. 4,863,989). At pages 3-4 of the

Office Action, claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Obayashi '989. For the following

reasons, each of these rejections is respectfully traversed.

1. The present invention

The present invention provides a water-absorbent resin composition which

simultaneously exhibits both good gel stability and discoloration resistance. The present

invention is achieved by combining materials with an iron content that is very small, in order to

adjust the total iron content of the water absorbent resin composition to 1 ppm or less.

5

GMM/JMK/jmb

Application No.: 10/540,832

Reply to Office Action dated: August 24, 2006

Docket No.: 1422-0680PUS1

Art Unit: 3761

In order to further clarify and better define the present invention, new process of making

claims have been added to the application which incorporate the step of obtaining a water-

absorbent resin composition, wherein the iron content is 1 ppm or less.

2. Distinctions between the present invention and Obayashi '989

It is well established under U.S. law that in order to find either anticipation or

obviousness, the Office must first show that each and every element of the claimed invention is

disclosed or suggested by the prior art. "A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as

set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art

reference." MPEP §2131, citing Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California, 814 F.2d 628,

631, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987). Similarly, "[T]o establish prima facie obviousness

of a claimed invention, all the claim limitations must be taught or suggested by the prior art."

MPEP §2143.03.

The Applicants respectfully submit that each and every element of the presently claimed

invention is not disclosed or suggested by the prior art. In particular, the prior art does not

disclose or suggest a process of preparing a water absorbent resin composition by combining

materials which have an iron content small enough so as to adjust the total iron content of the

water-absorbent resin composition to 1 ppm or less as recited in claim 10. The prior art also does

not disclose or suggest a process which produces a water-absorbent resin wherein discoloration

resistance and gel stability are simultaneously improved also as recited in claim 10.

Obayashi '989 discloses a composition similar to that of the present invention, and which

is said to have good gel stability. Obayashi '989 however fails to disclose or suggest a process

**Application No.: 10/540,832** 

Reply to Office Action dated: August 24, 2006

Docket No.: 1422-0680PUS1

Art Unit: 3761

which comprises combining materials with an iron content that is small, so as to adjust the total

iron content of the water-absorbent resin composition to 1 ppm or less, and such that

discoloration resistance as well as gel stability can be improved. Therefore, the newly added

process claims cannot be considered anticipated by, or obvious over, Obayashi '989.

In addition, with regard to claim 7 as currently amended, the present invention is

distinguished by the fact that, although a large amount of water-absorbent resin is contained in

the absorbent, unexpectedly superior results are simultaneously exhibited in both gel stability

and discoloration resistance. However, in Obayashi '989 the amount of water-absorbent resin in

the absorbent is only 33%. As noted above, the subject matter of the currently pending claim 8 is

now incorporated into claim 7. Claim 7 now requires that the water-absorbent resin composition

in the absorbent is 40 to 95% by weight. Obayashi '989 completely fails to disclose or suggest

this limitation of claim 7.

Conclusion

The Applicants respectfully submit that this application is in condition for allowance. An

early reconsideration and notice of allowance are earnestly solicited.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.17 and 1.136(a), Applicant(s) respectfully petition(s) for a

one (1) month extension of time for filing a reply in connection with the present application, and

the required fee of \$120.00 is attached hereto.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact J. Mark Konieczny (Reg. No.

7

GMM/JMK/jmb

Application No.: 10/540,832 Docket No.: 1422-0680PUS1

Reply to Office Action dated: August 24, 2006

Art Unit: 3761

47,715 ) at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37.C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.14; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: December 20, 2006 Respectfully submitted,

By / ///////
Gerald M. Murphy,

Registration No.: 28,977

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Rd

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

1:M.1C